

MINUTES

UTAH FUNERAL SERVICE BOARD MEETING

May 31, 2007

**Room 475 – 4th Floor – 9:00 A.M.
Heber Wells Building
Salt Lake City, UT 84111**

CONVENED: 9:00 A.M.

ADJOURNED: 1:32 P.M.

**Bureau Manager:
Board Secretary:**

Noel Taxin
Karen McCall

Board Members Present:

Shaun S. Myers, Chairperson
Joseph W. Thalman
Craig McMillan
Reginal V. Ecker
Mary W. Bearnson
Mark Walker

Board Members Absent:

Allyn Walker

DOPL Staff Present:

Mitchell Jones, AG

TOPICS FOR DISCUSSION

DECISIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

ADMINISTRATIVE BUSINESS:

MINUTES:

The minutes from the February 15, 2007 Board meeting were read.

Mr. McMillan made a motion to approve the minutes as read. Mark Walker seconded the motion. **The Board vote was unanimous.**

APPOINTMENTS:

9:40 A.M.

Robert Wilcoxon, Probationary Interview

Mr. Wilcoxon met for his probationary interview.

Mr. Myers thanked Mr. Wilcoxon for meeting today. He informed Mr. Wilcoxon that his reports have been received and indicate he is on track with

his probation. Mr. Myers informed Mr. Wilcoxon that Mr. McMillan will be conducting the interview today.

Mr. McMillan commented that Mr. Wilcoxon's reports indicate he done due diligence in the last quarter. He stated that in the past Mr. Wilcoxon has met and the Board has not received all required reports or the reports are not detailed. Mr. McMillan stated that due to his relationship with Mr. Wilcoxon, he is aware of what Mr. McMillan expects of him. He asked Mr. Wilcoxon to share with the Board the things he is currently working on and what he has accomplished.

Mr. Wilcoxon responded that he has met weekly with his supervisor, Del Ballard, and gives him all the weekly preneed contracts to review. Mr. Wilcoxon stated that during their weekly meeting which was yesterday, Mr. Ballard told him he was doing a good job in his business. He stated that the Secretary has tried to give more details regarding when contracts are received, how they are checked and filed and how the money is recorded and deposited. He stated that Mr. Ballard also reviews that report. Mr. Wilcoxon stated that his personal life has no problems. He shared that he and his wife attended a soccer tournament in Las Vegas, Nevada and went to see Celine Dion while they were there. He stated that he had no urge to do any gambling while they were in Las Vegas. Mr. Wilcoxon stated that when he sells a preneed program he does not accept any cash except in 2 cases where he took the cash and immediately got money orders. He stated that he requires a money order or check. Mr. Wilcoxon stated that he thinks he is progressing with no problems.

Mr. Wilcoxon stated that he has talked with the NFDA office and will be taking 16 hours of CE in a correspondence course. He stated that the title of the course is "CPC Preplanning Consultant Program" and then he submitted the information for the Board to review.

Mr. Ecker commented that he just completed the course and found it to be intense with an intense

examination at the end.

Mr. Wilcoxon stated that there are 10 topics with an examination after each topic. He stated that NFDA notifies him of how he did on each examination. Mr. Wilcoxon stated that there is a final examination at a testing center upon completion of all the topics and examinations. He asked the Board for approval to take the program.

Ms. Taxin informed Mr. Wilcoxon and the Board that the 18 hour program would complete and exceed the CE requirement for his probation and he may count the remaining hours toward his regular Funeral Service CE requirements.

Mr. Wilcoxon reminded the Board that he completed 3 hours in ethics and regulations and then another 3 from the book "Choosing Ethical Excellence by Allan Funk.

Ms. Taxin confirmed that documentation of the 6 hours has been submitted. She stated that Mr. Wilcoxon will need an additional 4 hours for a total of 10 CE hours.

Mr. Wilcoxon stated that he would like the Board to approve this 18 hour program for him as he believes it is a program that he would be interested in.

Ms. Taxin responded that the goal for CE is for Mr. Wilcoxon to recognize what would help him.

Mark Walker made a motion to approve the 18 hour correspondence course for Mr. Wilcoxon.

Mr. Ecker seconded the motion.

The Board vote was unanimous.

Mr. Wilcoxon stated that he appreciates the support of the Board. He stated that this has been a humbling experience for him and has given him a chance to reflect and see that he had a problem that can be corrected. He stated that most of his career he has been honorable and respectable and for the last little

while he has felt good about himself again. He stated that he knows what he is doing now is the right and proper thing to do.

Ms. Taxin commented that she and the Board want the probation time to be productive for Mr. Wilcoxon. She asked if he and Mr. Ballard thought the supervision was a waste of their time.

Mr. Wilcoxon responded that neither he nor Mr. Ballard think the supervision is a waste of time.

Ms. Taxin stated that this is the first meeting everything has been submitted for the meeting. She stated that the Board could consider making the supervision less frequent if everything is submitted and documents Mr. Wilcoxon is still on track at the next scheduled appointment.

Mr. Wilcoxon stated that Mr. Ballard does not give him a copy of the reports that are submitted to the Board but he does tell Mr. Wilcoxon that the reports are positive.

Mr. McMillan thanked Mr. Wilcoxon for the update and report. He stated that some of the reports were brief but the reports have been positive. He stated that the Board would like Dr. Ahern to report that he and Mr. Wilcoxon are working on these specific issues and this is what he sees. Mr. McMillan stated that the reports from Mr. Ballard and Ms. Gore have been more detailed and are also positive. Mr. McMillan stated that Mr. Wilcoxon will have challenges and something may again put him back into a wrong arena. He stated that Mr. Wilcoxon does not have options and can not go there. Mr. McMillan stated that Mr. Wilcoxon shared a story with him regarding years ago being on a date, running out of gas and being picked up by the police for siphoning gas from another car. He stated that Mr. Wilcoxon was taken into custody and has no idea how long his date sat in the car waiting for him to return. Mr. McMillan stated that Mr. Wilcoxon must be squeaky clean in everything that he does from this point forward. He asked Mr. Wilcoxon what he is

doing to safeguard himself.

Mr. Wilcoxon responded that he has always been an avid sports fan. He stated that he watches sports on TV and has blocked all the card games where he might be tempted. He stated that he checks in with his clergy regularly and weekly he and Ms. Gore go over all contracts to be sure all monies and contracts he has taken in are balanced. He stated that he also meets with Mr. Ballard weekly and has him review all contracts. He stated that he now admits that he has an addictive problem and he either does what he should do to retain his license or he goes to jail. He stated that he stays away from anything that might trigger the addiction again. Mr. Wilcoxon stated that his wife has been a great support for him by blocking TV programs, getting on-line every day to review their credit report and pays a monthly fee for a monthly credit report. He stated that she throws out any mail that comes in for credit line information, has control of all the credit cards and access to all the bank accounts. He stated that his wife does not want him to continue to waste a lot of hard earned money. He stated that his wife also requires him to keep a log of where he is going when he uses the car.

Ms. Taxin commented that it appears Mr. Wilcoxon has some good coping skills in place to help him. Ms. Taxin stated that Mr. McMillan alluded to his will to do the right thing comes from within and hopefully the triggers will remind Mr. Wilcoxon to continue with his recovery. She stated that he will need to learn to be self regulating.

Mr. Wilcoxon responded that at this time he does not have any urge for gambling. He stated that he tries to do things that will keep his mind active and off gambling. He stated that he was scared to go to Las Vegas but his wife stayed with him the entire time of their stay. He stated that the gambling hurt himself, his family and friends which made him feel dirty. Mr. Wilcoxon stated that he is doing everything possible not to be in that type of situation again. He stated that without a support system he would fail. He stated that what he did was stupid and a smart person would not have done those things. Mr. Wilcoxon stated that

since he has been meeting with the Board he has not had any urges to gamble.

Ms. Taxin stated that he should continue meeting with Dr. Ahern and continue to work on his issues. She stated that if he decides he needs additional help he might want to go to a therapist who works with people that are in addiction. She stated that people can change addictions and he should be sure he addresses the root of his addiction. She stated that the Board would have to recommend an amendment to his Stipulation and Order to change the frequency of his meetings with his supervisor, Mr. Ballard. Ms. Taxin stated that Mr. Ballard should make a recommendation to the Board regarding the frequency of them to meet.

Mr. Wilcoxon responded that until his penitence is served he would want to keep the supervision meetings weekly. He stated that something could come up and he wants to be sure he has that safety net. He stated that he has a son with a brain injury who has 2 children and he and his wife will have to help out financially with that family member.

An appointment was made for Mr. Wilcoxon to meet again on September 20, 2007.

Mr. Wilcoxon stated that he will enroll in the class and hopefully have it completed by the next appointment.

Ms. Taxin stated that the Board will address the frequency of his reports at the September 20, 2007 meeting. She reminded Mr. Wilcoxon and the Board that the Stipulation and Order requires reports monthly for 6 months and then quarterly at the Board recommendation. She stated that Mr. Wilcoxon will still be required to meet with his supervisor and therapist on a regular basis but the reports would be submitted quarterly.

10:00 A.M.

Rules Discussion

Mitchell Jones, AG, met with the Board for the Rules discussion.

Ms. Taxin explained the process for writing the Rules and that she attempted to re-write them. She stated that if the Law has a statement “as defined by Rule” then a Rule should be written to clarify that portion of the Law.

Ms. Taxin referred the Board to 58-9-102(12)(a), which states that Funeral Service Establishment means” and then it refers to “as defined by Rule”.

Ms. Taxin stated that she contacted Kelly Magelby regarding how the Rule should define the Funeral Service Establishment and he informed her that there was nothing more to include. Ms. Taxin stated that in the Rule she referred back to the Law.

Ms. Taxin stated that this was the only place she found “as defined by Rule”. She stated that she then identified areas where an item needed clarification.

Ms. Taxin asked the Board to review R156-9-302a(1) , Qualifications for Licensure, Examination Requirements. She explained that the Law refers to examinations approved by the Division in collaboration with the Board. Ms. Taxin stated that the Utah Law and Rule examination was taken away as there were so many problems. She recommended an examination of 10 questions be put in the applications of the Funeral Service Director, Funeral Service Intern and the Preneed Sales Agent. She explained that the applicant would complete the examination as they fill out the application.

Mr. Jones commented that the Law might be clearer if it included the language “if an examination is required”.

Ms. Taxin stated that the Board could write a Rule that there is no examination required at this time.

Mr. Jones asked if one will be required in the future.

Ms. Taxin responded that she did not know at this time if one would be required in the future.

Mark Walker stated that he would like an examination in the application as it would require the applicant to review the Laws and Rules.

Mr. McMillan stated that he would also like to have 10 questions in the application but if other professions do not require a Laws and Rules examination he would not want Funeral Service to be the only one that does require the examination.

Ms. Taxin responded that it appears that there is no correlation between an examination and being competent and compliant with Laws and Rules.

Mr. Myers stated that he does not believe there is another time when the Funeral Service professionals study the Laws and Rules except to obtain the license.

Ms. Taxin reminded the Board that they sign every renewal that they have read the Laws and Rules.

The Board requested an examination be included in the applications of the Funeral Service Director, the Intern and the Preneed Sales Agent.

Ms. Taxin stated that under R156-9-302a, Qualifications for Licensure – Examination Requirements, she will include a number 2 which will read “the intern and sales agent must pass the Laws and Rules examination in the application with a passing score of 100% correct”.

Ms. Taxin suggested a number 3 be included that requires all endorsement applicants to also take and pass the examination in the application.

The Board agreed.

Ms. Taxin requested the Board to review R156-9-402, Duties and Responsibilities of a Funeral Service Director in Supervision of Funeral Service

Apprentices, Preneed Funeral Arrangement Sales Agents and Unlicensed Staff. Ms Taxin stated that she has changed the word Apprentices to Interns throughout the Rules document as the new Law changed the Apprentice name to Intern.

Ms. Taxin then referred the Board to R156-9-402(5) and pointed out that she included “and all duties and functions throughout the entire internship period”. She explained that she included this language so that Interns and supervisors do not think the Intern completes 50 embalmings and then are qualified to work on their own.

Mr. McMillan asked if the internship is for a one year period.

Ms. Taxin responded that working 40 hours a week equates out to 2000 hours in one year and the Intern license is for a 2 year period. Ms. Taxin stated that if the Intern does not complete the Internship within the 2 year period the Law allows for an additional 2 year term under 58-9-303(2). Ms. Taxin stated that it should not be encouraged for the Intern to spread out the time over a 4 year period. She stated that the Internship is to get the training and experience with the expectation of becoming licensed as a Funeral Service Director and not to just work. She stated that the Interns will be required to explain just reasons regarding why the Division should extend the license and why they were unable to meet the requirement in the 2 year period.

Mr. Ecker asked if someone does not complete the experience in the 4 years could they become an Intern again.

Ms. Taxin responded that they could not as the Law only allows for a maximum of 4 years.

Mark Walker asked if the Division would accept the internship experience if someone completed the internship, went to school and came back 5 years later to apply for the Funeral Service Director license.

Ms Taxin responded that she would be a little concerned if there were too many years between the experience and completion of the education.

Mr. McMillan commented that he can understand the concern for some professions but not in Funeral Service.

Ms. Taxin requested the Board review R156-9-402(7) requiring the supervisor to “be physically present and directly supervise the first 50 embalmings and all duties and functions completed by a funeral service intern throughout the entire internship period”.

Mr. Myers asked if the “ present and direct supervision” means the supervisor may be in the building or on the premises but not directly watching.

Ms. Taxin responded that the supervisor should be directly watching for at least the first 50 embalmings.

The Board agreed that R156-9-402(7) is appropriate.

Ms. Taxin then explained that Section 58-9-504 of the Law gives the authority to promulgate Rules and some of the Rules are probably authored from this section.

She referred the Board to R156-9-403. Death Registration – Removal of Body – Transportation and Preservation of Dead Human Bodies. She asked where the Law allows for this Rule.

Mr. Jones responded that the Division umbrella Law under 58-1-106 gives broad power. He asked why the Funeral Service Rules have Section R156-9-403.

Mr. Myers responded that there were problems with the Funeral Establishments.

Ms. Taxin stated that she is not finding the authority to write some of the Rules.

Mr. Jones stated that some of the Laws and Rules are conflicting as 58-1 gives the authority for Rules and

by having Section 58-9-504 in the Funeral Service Law they are limiting some of the authority.

Ms. Taxin stated that she will ask about the authority to include R156-9-403 in the Rules.

Ms. Taxin then asked about R156-9-502. Unprofessional Conduct and the authority to include this section in the Rules.

Ms. Bearnson responded that 58-1(2) gives the Rule authority for any Rule adopted under this title.

Mr. Jones stated that Section 604, 605 and 606 would also apply for authority through 58-1(2).

Ms. Taxin stated that she started to cross out R156-9-607. Contract Forms – Division Model – Certification Requirement by Provider., as the new Law no longer requires her to review and approve all contracts. She stated that the Board/Division decided it was not appropriate for her to be reviewing and approving as establishments have attorneys who review the contracts to be sure they are legally correct. She stated that contracts must be provided when an initial application is submitted for the Establishment license but if the establishment changes the contracts they do not need to submit the changes to the Division. Ms. Taxin explained that the Section 607 does require the contract to include specific things to be in compliance. She stated that she deleted numbers 2 through 4 and number 6.

The Board agreed that the revision was appropriate.

Ms. Taxin requested the Board to review the Law under 58-9-701. Preneed contract requirements., and asked if a comment should be put in the Rules that as of a specific date the preneed contracts are no longer required to be approved by the Division. She gave the following example: From May 1, 2007 each new preneed contract does not need to indicate that the Division approved the contract. Contracts approved prior to May 1, 2007 may retain the statement in the contracts until the contracts are updated.

Mr. Jones recommended that #20 be deleted from the Model Contract.

Ms. Taxin responded that she will look into deleting #20.

Mr. Myers asked if any of the Board members have had money left over on Medicaid from a trust account. He explained that he has had money left over and when he has contacted Medicare, Medicaid or Social Security regarding a refund there is no one that knows who to refund.

Mr. McMillan asked Mr. Myers to contact him for the name and phone number of person who may have the information.

The Board reviewed Sections R156-9-608, 609, 610, 611, 612, 613, 614 and 615 and agreed that they should be included as written.

Mr. Myers stated that R156-9-616 is the Rule that requires the annual report to be submitted.

Ms. Taxin responded that the annual report is no longer required to be submitted to the Division but is required to be retained by the Funeral Service Provider.

Mr. McMillan stated that all the Funeral Service Providers have the information but if someone showed up and requested an audit it would take some time to pull all the information from the various files.

Mr. Myers stated that the only way of checking for compliance is to conduct an audit.

Ms. Taxin suggested the Division conduct a random audit of the annual reports.

Mr. Myers stated that when the Division started auditing the CE it caused licensees to struggle to complete the required CE. He stated that a random audit of the annual reports would

probably be a good idea to be sure the reports are being done annually. He stated that he would like the word compliance taken out of the Rule.

Ms. Taxin stated that R156-9-616 should read "Each provider or contract seller should maintain an annual report of preneed trust funds and insurance. She stated that she is concerned that licensees are not going to do an annual report.

Mark Walker commented that if the Division conducts a random audit the licensees will put the report together and submit it for review.

Mr. Myers stated that he sits on a preneed task force for the National organization and has found the following:

- 1. Most States are stepping up the audit requirements, as that is the number 1 thing to deter taking funds.**
- 2. There is now an acknowledgement of payment from the insurance company to the consumer so the consumer knows their payment goes to the right place. The acknowledgement would go out to the consumer with a policy if it is through insurance but there is no policy through the trust accounts.**

Mr. Myers recommended that each currently licensed provider and each provider or contract seller who has discontinued the sale of contract shall maintain and make available the information for audit.

Ms. Taxin responded that very few have submitted the annual reports. She stated that the Division could do a random audit.

Mr. Myers stated that the Board is proposing the Funeral Service Provider maintain the annual report.

Mark Walker stated that the Law requires the Funeral Service Provider to maintain the annual report. He asked why the requirement should also

go into the Rules.

Mr. McMillan again stated that all the information is at the establishment but not compiled into a report and, unless he is required to submit a report for audit, he would probably not do a formal report.

Ms. Taxin stated that there would be a problem if a case was opened and the investigator came to the establishment and asked to review the required annual report. She stated that if the establishment is asked for the report then they should be able to produce the report.

Mr. Jones stated that the Division does not send out investigators to check the accounts. He stated that the definition of report is important.

Mr. Myers stated that without the report an audit of the accounts cannot be conducted.

Mr. Jones stated that he and the Board have to decide what is intended by the Law requirement. He stated that he believes it means that certain records should be available for the Division to review.

Ms. Taxin again stated that the Division could do random audits. She stated that she and Mr. Jones should get together and go over what would be required for that random audit.

Mr. Thalman stated that it is important to know where the business is going and the annual report would indicate that for the establishment.

Mr. Jones responded that it is not the concern of the Division that the establishment is doing well. He stated that it is the concern of the Division that the public be protected.

Ms. Taxin suggested making the one change and deleting the rest of the Rule so it would read: R156-9-616. Reporting Requirements. (1) In accordance with Section 58-9-706, each currently licensed provider shall retain an annual report.

The Board agreed with Ms. Taxin.

Mr. Myers stated that R156-9-616(c) is also important to retain in the Rules.

Ms. Taxin recommended that Board read through the Law and proposed Rules to determine if there are other areas that still apply or no longer apply. She asked the Board to contact her if there is language that would be better to use.

Mr. Myers asked if the Board may submit ideas that pertain to Funeral Planning.

Ms. Taxin responded that they may but to let her know where it applies.

Ms. Taxin asked the Board to also write 3 to 5 questions for the applications and to be sure to include the reference for the answers. She asked that the responses for the Rules and the questions be submitted to her no later than June 8, 2007.

Ms. Taxin requested the Board if they would be available to meet on July 18, 2007 to finalize the review of the Rules.

Everyone except Ms. Bearnson and Mark Walker responded that they will be available on that date.

Ms. Taxin stated that she will try to complete the requested changes and mail the document out for the Board to review prior to the July 18, 2007 meeting.

Mr. McMillan stated that highway fatalities that have the police officer on the scene have to request the body to go through the medical examiner for a death certificate. He stated that if the police officer does not request the body to go through the medical examiner then a Doctor must sign the death certificate.

Mark Walker responded that if the police officer brings the death certificate in the Doctor may sign it.

Mr. McMillan stated that the County Attorney used to be required to sign the death certificate.

Mr. Walker stated that most police officers are trained to request the medical examiner.

Ms. Taxin requested the Board to also take the new applications which she provided and review them.

DISCUSSION ITEMS:

FYI

Ms. Taxin stated that David Stanley, Division Director, has been requested to meet with the Legislature regarding the Sunset review. She explained that she and Mr. Stanley have to testify regarding whether the profession really has to be regulated. Ms. Taxin stated that the Sunset review is assigned to the Occupational and Professional Licensing Review Committee. She stated that she was given a format to follow and requested the Board's assistance completing the form.

Ms. Taxin gave the following statistics:

1. Funeral Service was first enacted in 1921 as an embalming certificate with 14 changes since 1921.
2. There are 7 Board members and gave the names of the Board members.
3. Colorado is the only State not regulating the profession.
4. In 2004 there were 4 complaints. In 2005 there were 18 complaints. In 2006 there were 12 complaints. In 2007 there has been 1 complaint to date.
5. The different types of actions have been verbal, 1 probationer in 2005 and 1 probationer in 2006.
6. The new Law was addressed.

Ms. Taxin stated that the form asks if there are any concerns and she will suggest there not be another Sunset review.

She asked if the Board had anything they would like to add.

Mr. Myers stated that strengths in not sunseting the Law are the continued protection of the consumer under pre-planning, preneed and regulating the sellers of preneed. He stated that with regulation the consumer's money is protected over the long term with a 100% trusting requirement of consumer's money. He stated that the Funeral Services are one of few who has a guaranteed product that guarantees to the consumer.

Mr. McMillan stated that only 8 other States have the guarantee for the consumer.

Mr. Myers stated that some establishments offer the guarantee but most States do not require it. He stated that very few establishments come before the Board with issues.

Mark Walker stated that without licensure there are health, care, transportation and disposition issues where the public should be concerned.

Ms. Taxin stated that there are also safety issues from a criminal stand point as crimes could occur with bodies being disposed of improperly.

Mr. Ecker stated that it would be good if Ms. Taxin could get the profession off the Sunset list.

Ms. Taxin stated that there are 49 States that regulate the Funeral Services.

Mr. Myers stated that with the recent changes the Law is better.

Mark Walker stated that if there are problems it creates animosity throughout the Nation such as the incident in Georgia where bodies were put out in a pasture. He stated that the incident gave Georgia a black mark and they immediately changed their Law.

Mr. McMillan stated that Utah has few sensitive issues to deal with.

Mr. Myers stated that Clayton Smart in Michigan and Tennessee purchased a number of cemeteries and absconded with the trust monies. He stated that Mr. Smart has millions now in off shore accounts.

Ms. Taxin asked if the Association is invited to attend the Sunset meeting, who should she contact.

Mr. Myers asked that she contact him to attend.

Resignation notification of 2 Board Members

Ms. Taxin informed the Board that Shaun Myers and Craig McMillan are serving on the Association Board and have decided to resign from the Licensing Board.

Mr. Myers and Mr. McMillan thanked the Board members for their hard work and support.

Ms. Taxin explained that she will need formal letters of resignation from each of them to complete the process. She stated that if they are aware of anyone who might be interested in serving to ask them to send her a letter of interest with their resume. She stated that she would like the 2 new people on the Board as soon as possible in order to get them trained as Board members.

Ms. Taxin reminded the Board that they cannot participate on the Association Board and the Division Board as it is conflict of interest. She stated that at the Legislature you either represent the Division Board or the Association.

Mr. Jones asked if Mr. Myers and Mr. McMillan are officers or just Association Board members.

Mr. McMillan responded that both of them are National Board members.

Ms. Taxin stated that they serve on Boards that make decisions for the profession. She thanked them both for their help and service on the Board.

Mr. Myers stated that it has been a pleasure to serve on the Licensing Board. He stated that he

was first appointed to the Cemetery Board in 1987 and then later was appointed to this Board. He stated that he has appreciated Ms. Taxin taking a hard look at the profession and the Laws and Rules and helping with the changes that have been made.

Mr. McMillan stated that he voices the same thanks as Mr. Myers. He stated that since Ms. Taxin has been the Bureau Manager there have been big steps taken for the profession.

Board members thanked Mr. Myers and Mr. McMillan for their service on the Board.

Ms. Taxin stated that a new Board chairperson will need to be decided at the next Board meeting.

CE Course

Ms. Taxin explained that she had requested Mr. McMillan to check into the Funeral CE course and report back to the Board based on a request to the Division/Board of its pertinence to the Funeral profession.

Mr. McMillan reported that he took the course and it was well organized, presented and informational for the profession.

Ms. Taxin stated that she will contact the organizer to let him know that the Division and Board feel that his course meets the requirements in the Law and Rule.

Legislative Update

This was covered under the Rules review.

FYI

Ms. Taxin notified the Board of a newspaper article regarding the revocation of Roy Williams Funeral Service license. She stated that the article was out of Illinois and she found it interesting as it related to the Funeral Service profession.

The Board noted the information.

CORRESPONDENCE:

The Conference regarding the 2007 Budget

Mr. Myers will review and report back to the Board any pertinent information.

The Conference information regarding CE

Mr. Myers will review and report back to the Board any pertinent information.

The Funeral Ethics Organization
Winter/Spring 2007 Newsletter

Mr. Myers will review and report back to the Board any pertinent information.

NEXT MEETING SCHEDULED FOR:

September 20, 2007

ADJOURN:

The time is 1:32 pm and the meeting is adjourned.

Note: These minutes are not intended to be a verbatim transcript but are intended to record the significant features of the business conducted in this meeting. Discussed items are not necessarily shown in the chronological order they occurred.

September 20, 2007
Date Approved

(ss) Reginal V. Ecker
Chairperson, Utah Funeral Service Licensing Board

July 2, 2007
Date Approved

(ss) Noel Taxin
Bureau Manager, Division of Occupational &
Professional Licensing